

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/047,792	Applicant(s) BARKER ET AL.	
	Examiner Tuan A. Vu	Art Unit 2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tuan A. Vu. (3) _____
 (2) Joseph Van Leeuwen. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 February 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 19 and 28-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative was approached for making changes to the claimed invention as to enable the subject matter recited in the independent claims to encompass all the necessary limitations perceived as patentable matter. The representative has concurred with suggestions made by Examiner to add specifics to the limitations in order to impart some relationship or correspondence among them, thereby put forth a more define/focused process as intended by the application. All independent claims have been revised to include one or other forms of the suggestion for changes thus proffered, rendering thereby the invention in much improved condition for allowance..